Exhibit 4

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
    DIAGEO NORTH AMERICA, INC.,:
 5
             Plaintiff,
 6
                               : CASE NO. 1:17-CV-04259-LLS
    v.
 7
    W.J. DEUTSCH & SONS, LTD :
    D/b/a DEUTSCH FAMILY WINE :
8
 9
    & SPIRITS and BARDSTOWN
10
    BARREL SELECTIONS, LLC, :
11
             Defendants.
12
13
14
15
16
           Videotaped Deposition of PHILIP HAMPTON, II
17
                        Washington, D.C.
18
                      Friday, June 4, 2019
19
                            9:34 a.m.
20
21
22
23
    Job No.: 30396
24
    Pages 1 through 286
25
    Reported by: Cassandra E. Ellis, RPR
```

1	Q Is that the ridged edge?
2	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
3	vague and ambiguous, outside the
4	scope.
5	A I don't believe it is the
6	ridged edge.
7	Q And is the arrow-shaped text
8	divider on the label depicted anywhere in
9	the drawing of the mark that appears on
10	the `812 registration?
11	A I I said it's not depicted
12	on the image of the Bulleit bottle in the
13	`812 registration.
14	Q And is it withdrawn.
15	It's your position that even
16	though the element of arrow-shaped
17	text divider on the label is not
18	depicted in the drawing of the mark
19	for the `812 registration that the
20	element is still protected by the `812
21	registration?
22	A I believe
23	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
24	form, outside the scope.
25	A Again, I believe the that

1	element is part of the label design which
2	is claimed.
3	Q And it's your position that the
4	use of the words "label design"
5	sufficiently covers the elements of
6	arrow-shaped text divider on the label?
7	MR. SERVODIDIO: Vague and
8	ambiguous, outside the scope.
9	A I think, in this situation, it
10	does.
11	Q How so?
12	A I think that the label is part
13	of the overall Trade Dress of the Bulleit
14	bottle. And, you know, everything on the
15	label is is not separately called out.
16	But I think it is that the label is
17	the label, and what is on the label is
18	part of the registration, and that
19	includes the arrow-shaped text divider on
20	the label.
21	Q And what other elements of the
22	label does that include that are not
23	expressly called out in the description
24	of the mark or the drawing of the mark?
25	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,

1	beyond the scope of the opening
2	rebuttal reports, asked and
3	answered.
4	A There appears to be other
5	things on that label, but my eyesight is
6	such that I can't make it out.
7	Q Understood.
8	I think there is a bigger
9	picture in the file wrapper, that we
10	marked previously as Exhibit 42. If you
11	go to the second to last page you might
12	be able to see that one better.
13	A Okay.
14	Q Do you see any words on the
15	page Diageo 38094 that are included in
16	the label for the Bulleit Bourbon
17	packaging but are not expressly
18	identified in the `812 registration?
19	A Kentucky straight bourbon
20	whiskey.
21	Q Anything else?
22	A I can't make out the something,
23	something, the Bulleit Distilling
24	Company.
25	Q Mm-hmm. And is it your opinion

	,
1	that all of those words are also
2	contained in and protected by the `812
3	registration?
4	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
5	compound, outside the scope of
6	opening and expert reports, vague
7	and ambiguous, confusing.
8	A I believe that the `812
9	registration covers the Bulleit Trade
10	Dress. And the Bulleit Trade Dress is
11	the Bulleit Trade Dress includes the
12	label, so it would include the words on
13	the label.
14	Q Understood.
15	
	And have you seen the a
16	Bulleit product in the marketplace
17	before?
18	A Yes, I have.
19	MR. SERVODIDIO: Asking the
20	witness to give me a chance to
21	make an objection before I know
22	we want to get out of here, but
23	give me a chance to object.
24	MS. KOROTKIN: It gets hard.
25	MR. SERVODIDIO: Vague and

1	ambiguous as to product. There
2	are many Bulleit products. You
3	can answer.
4	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
5	Q Well, we can ask a different
6	way.
7	Have you had occasion to see a
8	Bulleit Bourbon bottle in the 750
9	milliliter size in the marketplace
10	before?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And sitting here today, do you
13	recall what the neck of that product
14	looked like, was it covered by anything?
15	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
16	compound, vague and ambiguous.
17	A I think some of it may have
18	been covered by the neck is but I
19	think some of it was covered by black
20	wrapper or something.
21	MS. KOROTKIN: Mm-hmm.
22	Yeah. I have something that can
23	help us. Hold on one second.
24	Just show you what's been
25	previously marked as Exhibit 30.

1	(Previously marked Exhibit
2	No. 30 was identified.)
3	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
4	Q This is photographs a Bulleit
5	Bourbon bottle.
6	A Yep.
7	Q And what do you how would
8	you describe the feature at the top of
9	the bottle?
10	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
11	irrelevant, outside the scope.
12	A What do you mean, the feature
13	at the top of the bottle?
14	Q You mentioned there being
15	plastic at the top of the bottle.
16	A Well, I mean, it looks like
17	there's plastic over over I
18	know there's plastic over the cap,
19	because I opened it, and and so that
20	would be, I guess, the feature. I mean,
21	it kind of covers up I think it's I
22	think there's a it's first time I
23	opened it up, kind of interesting that
24	it's cork and not a normal screw cap.
25	Maybe I was used to drinking cheaper

1	liquor usually.
2	Q Understood.
3	And is it your opinion that the
4	plastic that covers the bottle cap is
5	also part of the Trade Dress?
6	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
7	vague and ambiguous.
8	A I believe that that it is,
9	again, part of the Trade Dress, as a
10	whole, but it is not separately
11	protectable.
12	Q Understood.
13	And quickly going through these
14	elements in paragraph 22 of your report,
15	for the Bulleit Trade Dress, the first
16	bullet point identifies a clear,
17	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded
18	shoulders; do you see that?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is it your opinion that that
21	element is separately protected by the
22	`812 registration?
23	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
24	vague and ambiguous, outside the
25	scope.

1	A I believe it is part of the
2	of the yes, I believe it is part of
3	the Trade Dress of the `812.
4	Q And is it protected by the `812
5	registration as a standalone feature?
6	MR. SERVODIDIO: Asked and
7	answered, vague and ambiguous,
8	outside the scope, and calls for a
9	legal conclusion.
10	A I believe it I mean, it's
11	it the canteen shape is the is
12	the the shape of the bottle. That is
13	part of the Trade Dress. Whether, you
14	know, it is I don't know if you could
15	say it would be separately protected,
16	because I don't know how you would
17	separately protect that, an element of
18	of of Trade Dress, because at the end
19	of the day you have to look at a Trade
20	Dress as the as the entire image. I
21	mean, it's like in two pesos you
22	couldn't take out the sombrero and say
23	that is where a sombrero might not have
24	been separately protected. It was part
25	of the overall Trade Dress.

1	It's just like here, you know,
2	it is part of the overall Trade Dress or,
3	in the old days, we said getup of the
4	of the bottle.
5	Q Understood.
6	And does the `812 registration
7	cover all versions of a clear,
8	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded
9	shoulders?
10	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
11	calls for a legal conclusion,
12	outside the scope, vague and
13	ambiguous.
14	A No.
15	Q Why not?
16	A Because the
17	MR. SERVODIDIO: Same
18	same objections, outside the
19	scope, vague and ambiguous, calls
20	for a legal conclusion, outside
21	the scope of the report.
22	A Because the Trade Dress is more
23	than just a canteen shape. I mean,
24	they're we put out a number of
25	elements that are part of the Trade

4	
1	Dress, so you can't so, you know, it
2	I cannot say unequivocally that a
3	canteen a canteen-shaped glass bottle,
4	that that Bulleits I
5	cannot say that the Bulleit Trade Dress
6	would cover all clear glass,
7	canteen-shaped bottles, with rounded
8	shoulders.
9	Q Understood.
10	Does the `812 registration
11	cover withdrawn.
12	Is there any size limitation
13	for the clear, canteen-shaped glass
14	bottle, with rounded shoulders,
15	element of the `812 registration?
16	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
17	form, vague and ambiguous as to
18	size limitation, calls for a legal
19	conclusion.
20	A Again, the answer's yes and no.
21	And it's and I I say and the
22	reason is, you know, I size is a very
23	nebulous word, and I think that if you
24	greatly alter the dimensions of the of
25	the can of the canteen shape then you

1	will not then it would not depict the
2	Bulleit Trade Dress.
3	Q Understood.
4	And just so I understand
5	you, when you say, "the dimensions,"
6	which dimensions are you referring to?
7	A I'm referring to almost all the
8	dimensions, I mean, there's there's a
9	certain, you know, I don't want to say
10	width, you know, but the I mean, the
11	canteen-shaped bottle is in certain
12	proportions. If you change some of those
13	proportions you would change, in my mind,
14	the you might still have a canteen
15	shape, but you would not have a canteen
16	shape depicted in in in the `812
17	registration.
18	Q Understood.
19	And is it your opinion that the
20	`812 registration covers the Bulleit
21	bottle packaging in a 375 milliliter
22	size?
23	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
24	form, vague and ambiguous, outside
25	the scope, calls for a legal

1	conclusion.
2	A I cannot recall seeing a 375
3	milliliter bottle, so I have no opinion.
4	Q Okay. You would agree with me
5	that `812 registration isn't limited to a
6	750 milliliter size canteen-shaped
7	bottle?
8	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
9	compound, vague and ambiguous,
10	calls for a legal conclusion as to
11	limited.
12	A No, so long as that other size
13	bottle had the same proportions as the
14	bottle depicted in the `812 registration.
15	Q Understood.
16	Also on paragraph 22, your
17	second bullet point for the Bulleit
18	Trade Dress, is: Embossed brand name
19	above the label. Is it your opinion
20	that that element covers any embossed
21	brand name above the label?
22	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
23	outside the scope.
24	A Again, it cannot cover no.
25	Well, maybe I should not say again. No

1	is the answer.
2	Q What embossed brand name does
3	it cover?
4	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
5	form, vague and ambiguous.
6	A It would it would cover an
7	embossed brand name of a spirits bottle
8	that had a clear canteen shape, an arched
9	line above the text, and possibly some of
10	the other elements that I depicted.
11	Again, you can't you don't
12	necessarily have to have all the
13	elements, but you have to have more than
14	one, I believe.
15	Q And is it your position that
16	the `812 registration just covers the
17	idea of embossed brand names above a
18	label?
19	MR. SERVODIDIO: Vague and
20	ambiguous as to idea, outside the
21	scope.
22	A That's my that's my request
23	is what do you mean by idea?
24	Q Well, I believe you said
25	it's the `812 registration the

1	embossed brand name element isn't limited
2	to one specific brand name?
3	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
4	vague and ambiguous.
5	A Did I say that?
6	MR. SERVODIDIO: Misstates
7	his
8	MS. KOROTKIN: I may have
9	misunderstood what you said.
10	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
11	Q I think I my question,
12	before, was I can just read it back.
13	I said: What embossed brand
14	name does it cover. And you said: It
15	would cover an embossed brand name of
16	a spirits bottle that had a clear
17	canteen shape, and arched line above
18	the text, and possibly some of the
19	other elements that I depicted.
20	Again, you can't you don't
21	necessarily have to have all the
22	elements, but you have to have more
23	than one, I believe.
24	A So what's your
25	MR. SERVODIDIO: So what's

1	the question?
2	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
3	Q Does the `812 registration
4	cover the idea of an embossed brand name
5	above the label?
6	MR. SERVODIDIO: I'm going
7	to say, still, object, vague and
8	ambiguous as to idea, outside the
9	scope of the report, calls for a
10	legal analysis.
11	A Yeah, I don't know what you
12	mean by cover the idea.
13	Q Do you believe that `812
14	registration gives Diageo exclusive
15	rights to the use of an embossed brand
16	name above a label on a bottle?
17	MR. SERVODIDIO: Outside the
18	scope, calls for obviously
19	calls for a legal conclusion,
20	beyond the scope.
21	A No, it it Diageo does not
22	have exclusive rights for any brand name
23	above a label. But unless that brand
24	name above the label is part of a clear,
25	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded

1	shoulders, and and there is
2	some sort of arching text above the top
3	line of the embossed brand name.
4	Q Understood.
5	So for each of the elements
6	you've identified in paragraph 22 of your
7	opening report, as constituting the
8	Bulleit Trade Dress, is it fair to say
9	you believe that Diageo does not own
10	exclusive rights to any one of those
11	elements separate and apart from the
12	others?
13	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object,
14	vague and ambiguous, calls for a
15	legal conclusion, outside the
16	scope of the report, misstates his
17	testimony.
18	THE WITNESS: After all
19	that, I'm going to need you to
20	repeat the question because I
21	don't quite understand the last
22	part of it.
23	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
24	Q Okay. Well, we can also just
25	go through them one by one. It might

1	make it simpler.
2	I believe you may have
3	answered this already, but just to
4	confirm, is it your opinion that
5	Diageo does not own exclusive rights
6	to the standalone element of a clear,
7	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with
8	rounded shoulders?
9	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
10	asked and answered, vague and
11	ambiguous, outside the scope of
12	the report.
13	A I agree, Diageo does not have
14	exclusive rights to a clear,
15	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded
16	shoulders.
17	Q And do you agree that Diageo
18	does not own exclusive rights to the
19	standalone element of an embossed brand
20	name above the label?
21	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
22	calls for a legal conclusion,
23	outside the scope of the report.
24	A I agree with that statement.
25	Q And do you agree that Diageo

1	does not own exclusive rights to the
2	standalone element of arched text in the
3	top line of the embossed brand name?
4	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
5	calls for a legal conclusion,
6	outside the scope of the report.
7	A I agree with that statement.
8	Q And do you agree that Diageo
9	does not own exclusive rights to the
10	standalone element of convex text divider
11	between components of the embossed brand
12	name, e.g., Bulleit Bourbon separated
13	from Frontier Whiskey?
14	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
15	calls for a legal conclusion,
16	outside the scope of the report.
17	A I agree with that, too.
18	Q And do you agree with the
19	statement that Diageo does not own
20	exclusive rights to the standalone
21	element of arrow-shaped text divider on
22	the label?
23	MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection,
24	calls for a legal conclusion,
25	outside the scope.

1	A I agree with that, too.
2	Q And do you agree with the
3	statement that Diageo does not own
4	exclusive rights in the standalone
5	element of border of parallel lines on
6	the label?
7	MR. SERVODIDIO: Same
8	objections.
9	A Same agreement.
10	Q And do you agree that Diageo
11	does not own exclusive rights to the
12	standalone element of cork bottle cap
13	with a black top?
14	MR. SERVODIDIO: Same
15	objections.
16	A I agree.
17	MS. KOROTKIN: Okay. We can
18	take a quick break. I think we've
19	been going for an hour and a half.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is
21	the end of disk number one in the
22	deposition of Philip Hampton, II.
23	The time is now 10:58 a.m. We are
24	off the record.
25	(Recess.)

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is
2	the beginning of disk number two
3	in the deposition of Philip
4	Hampton, II. The time is now
5	11:13 a.m. We are back on the
6	record.
7	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
8	Q Thank you. We're back on the
9	record, Mr. Hampton.
10	We were talking a bit earlier
11	about the definition of the Bulleit Trade
12	Dress. And I think I just have one or
13	two more questions for you there.
14	I notice in paragraph 21 of
15	your opening report you've identified a
16	product, Bulleit Bourbon, that includes
17	an orange label; is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And is it your opinion that the
20	color orange of the label is an element
21	included in the Bulleit Trade Dress?
22	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
23	form, outside the scope, calls for
24	a legal conclusion.
25	THE WITNESS: Repeat your

1	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
2	Q Is there a USC CFR MPEP or case
3	law authority that you can point to, to
4	answer that question?
5	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
6	form, vague and ambiguous.
7	A There is probably no specific
8	case law, because, you know, once the
9	presumption is there if the application
10	does not register, because it is late
11	because it the design is later found
12	to be functional, clearly the presumption
13	is is loss.
14	But and I don't think
15	there's any case law that says that. I
16	mean, there wouldn't be any need for case
17	law to say it.
18	MR. SERVODIDIO: Can I just
19	interrupt? Can you let us know
20	how long we're on the record.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Five
22	hours
23	MR. SERVODIDIO: Sorry, go
24	ahead.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: About

1	five hours and 50 minutes.
2	MR. SERVODIDIO: Thank you.
3	BY MS. KOROTKIN:
4	Q Do you believe that the
5	presumption you've provided an opinion
6	about would apply if an applicant
7	withdraws its application from allowance
8	and submits an IDS with references
9	disclosing functionality of some or all
10	of the features claimed in the drawings?
11	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
12	form, outside the scope,
13	incomplete hypothetical.
14	A Possibly.
15	Q And do you believe that the
16	presumption should be withdrawn if the
17	applicant merely abandoned its design
18	patent applications because it concluded
19	that they improperly claimed functional
20	subject matter?
21	MR. SERVODIDIO: Object to
22	form, incomplete hypothetical.
23	A Maybe.
24	MS. KOROTKIN: Subject to
25	the right to ask follow-up

1	questions I have no further
2	questions for Mr. Hampton.
3	MR. SERVODIDIO: Okay.
4	We're going to have some
5	follow-up, but I'd like to take a
6	break.
7	MS. KOROTKIN: Okay.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time
9	is now 4:57 p.m. We're off the
10	record.
11	(Recess.)
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time
13	is now 5:10 p.m. We are back on
14	the record.
15	MR. SERVODIDIO: Hi,
16	Mr. Hampton. I just have a few
17	follow-up questions for you.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
20	Q Can I ask you to look at your
21	opening report, which has been marked as
22	plaintiff's Exhibit 280, and look at
23	paragraph 22.
24	MS. KOROTKIN: Defendants'
25	280.

1	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
2	Q Defendants' 280, can you turn
3	to paragraph 22?
4	A I got it.
5	Q Okay. Do you recall this
6	morning counsel for the defendants,
7	Ms. Korotkin, asked you some questions
8	about whether Diageo has exclusive rights
9	in the elements of the Bulleit Trade
10	Dress that you list here in paragraph 22
11	to your report?
12	A Yes, I do.
13	Q Okay. And do you remember she
14	asked you whether Diageo has exclusive
15	rights in the clear, canteen-shaped
16	bottle; do you remember that question?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q And do you remember you said,
19	yes, that Diageo does not have exclusive
20	rights in a clear, canteen-shaped bottle?
21	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
22	form, misstates the testimony.
23	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
24	Q You can answer.
25	A Yes, I testified to that.

_	
1	Q Okay. And she also asked you
2	whether Diageo had exclusive rights in
3	the other elements of the Trade Dress
4	that are identified in paragraph 22 of
5	your report; do you remember those
6	questions?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q And do you remember testifying
9	positively in response to her questions
10	that Diageo did not have exclusive rights
11	in those other Trade Dress elements
12	listed in paragraph 22?
13	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
14	form, vague, confusing, compound.
15	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
16	Q You can answer.
17	A Yes.
18	Q When counsel for Deutsch asked
19	you those questions this morning, about
20	the elements set forth in the Trade
21	Dress, in paragraph 22 of your report,
22	was she referring to any particular goods
23	or services?
24	MS. KOROTKIN: Same
25	objections.

Her question was not limited to 1 Α 2 any particular goods or services. 3 Would your answers to Q Okay. 4 the questions that counsel for Deutsch 5 asked you be different if you were asked about Diageo's exclusive rights with 6 7 respect to distilled spirits? MS. KOROTKIN: Object to 8 9 form, vaque, confusing, compound, 10 misstates the testimony. 11 BY MR. SERVODIDIO: 12 You can answer. Q 13 Yes, my testimony would have Α 14 been different. 15 0 And why is that? 16 Α Because no -- no -- no 17 trademark owner gets exclusive rights to 18 its trademark for all goods and services. 19 So it's, like, black letter law that, you 20 know, you don't have rights, just because 21 you have a registration, you don't have 22 rights in everything. 23 Do you believe that Diageo had 0 24 a valid basis, in connection with the 25 prosecution of the `812 registration, to

```
1
       claim exclusive rights in a clear,
 2
       canteen-shaped bottle?
 3
           Α
                 Restate the question, because
 4
       you said the `8-112 and I don't think
       it's `8-112.
 5
 6
           Q
                 I'm sorry? It's not the
 7
       `8-112?
                I think it is.
                 It's `812 or `812.
           Α
 8
 9
                 Oh, yeah, you're right.
           0
10
                 MS. KOROTKIN:
                                 It's `812 is
11
           a band.
                 MR. SERVODIDIO: `8-112 is
12
13
                   Let me try it again, then.
           wrong.
14
     BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
15
           0
                 Do you believe that Diageo
       had -- had a valid basis to claim
16
17
       exclusive rights in a clear,
18
       canteen-shaped bottle, glass bottles,
19
       with rounded shoulders, for use in
20
       connection with distilled spirits,
21
       separate and apart from the other
22
       elements of the -- of its Trade Dress
23
       claimed in the `812 registration?
24
                 MS. KOROTKIN:
                                 Object to
25
           form, outside the scope of the
```

1	testimony and the report, lack of
2	foundation, compound.
3	A Yes, I believe that Diageo had
4	and has a basis for claiming exclusive
5	rights in the shape of the bottle,
6	separate and apart from the overall Trade
7	Dress.
8	Q And what's the basis for that
9	testimony, Mr. Hampton?
10	A From the depositions that I
11	read it it appears that the shape of
12	the bottle was distinctive and that the
13	shape of the bottle was that the
14	bottle was particularly designed for
15	for for whiskeys.
16	And the fact that the Patent
17	and Trademark Office agreed with Diageo,
18	once it made the argument that the bottle
19	was distinctive and evoked the memory of
20	a of a Frontier-style canteen or
21	medicine bottle.
22	Q So is it possible that Diageo
23	could not have exclusive rights in a
24	clear, canteen-shaped glass bottle, with
25	rounded shoulders, for goods other than

1	distilled spirits, but have exclusive
2	rights with respect to that element for
3	distilled spirits?
4	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
5	form.
6	A Yes, I think that that
7	that that that's the that is
8	what is the case here, that Diageo has
9	exclusive rights in their can in a
10	clear, canteen-shaped bottle for
11	distilled spirits.
12	Q Right. Now, in connection with
13	your review of the prosecution history of
14	the `812 registration is it your
15	understanding that Diageo is claiming
16	exclusive rights in the elements of its
17	Trade Dress separately, other than the
18	clear, canteen-shaped bottle, with
19	rounded shoulders?
20	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
21	form.
22	A No. I I do not believe that
23	Diageo is claiming exclusive rights in
24	any parts of its Trade Dress, separate
25	and apart from the Trade Dress as a

1	whole.
2	Q Right. Do you have an opinion,
3	one way or the other, whether Diageo has
4	exclusive rights in embossed brand names
5	above a label for use in connection with
6	goods other than distilled spirits?
7	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
8	form.
9	THE WITNESS: Can I have
10	that question repeated.
11	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
12	Q Do you have an opinion, one way
13	or the other, whether Diageo is entitled
14	to claim exclusive rights in an embossed
15	brand name, above the label, for use in
16	connection with goods other than
17	distilled spirits?
18	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
19	form, misstates the Trade Dress,
20	vague, compound.
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. Do you have an opinion
23	whether Diageo has the exclusive rights
24	to use the elements of its Trade Dress,
25	other than a clear, canteen-shaped

1	bottle, separate and apart from the Trade
2	Dress for use in connection with
3	distilled spirits?
4	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
5	form.
6	THE WITNESS: What was the
7	question again?
8	BY MR. SERVODIDIO:
9	Q Do you have an opinion we
10	talked about the first element of a
11	clear, canteen-shaped glass bottle, with
12	rounded shoulders; do you remember that?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q Okay. So now I'm asking you
15	about the other elements of the Trade
16	Dress that are listed in paragraph 22 of
17	your report.
18	Do you have an opinion whether
19	or not Diageo may claim exclusive rights
20	to those other elements, separate as
21	separately as separate elements, apart
22	from the Trade Dress, for use in
23	connection with distilled spirits?
24	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
25	form, compound.

1	A No, I really don't I really
2	haven't looked at that. I really don't
3	have an opinion on that.
4	Q Right. And do you understand
5	Diageo was claiming exclusive rights to
6	the elements separate the elements of
7	its Trade Dress listed in paragraph 22,
8	separate and apart from the entirety of
9	the Trade Dress?
10	MS. KOROTKIN: Object to
11	form, vague as to time.
12	A No. I I I don't believe
13	that Diageo was claiming rights in the
14	separate elements of its Trade Dress,
15	separate and apart from the Trade Dress,
16	as a whole, except for the clear,
17	canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded
18	shoulders.
19	MR. SERVODIDIO: Right. I
20	have no further questions.
21	MS. KOROTKIN: And I'll just
22	have one or two follow-up
23	questions.
24	///
25	///

1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. KOROTKIN: 3 If I understand your testimony Q accurately, Mr. Hampton, is it fair to 4 5 say you are now providing the opinion that Diageo has exclusive Trade Dress 6 rights in standalone elements of a clear, 7 canteen-shaped glass bottle, with rounded 8 9 shoulders? 10 MR. SERVODIDIO: Objection, 11 mischaracterizes his testimony. My testimony is that I believe 12 Α 13 that Diageo has the right to claim 14 exclusive rights in a clear, 15 canteen-shaped bottle, with rounded shoulders, separate and apart for one's 16 17 Trade Dress, as a whole, for distilled 18 spirits. 19 And what is the basis of that 0 20 opinion? 21 The basis of that opinion is Α 22 the fact that the -- the trade -- well, 23 the basis of that opinion are that the 24 Trade Dress, as registered, does not show 25 the bottle and dotted lines. So it means

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Cassandra E. Ellis, Registered Professional Reporter, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th day of June 2019.

My commission expires:

December 14, 2022

Cassandra Ellis

CASSANDRA E. ELLIS, CSR-HI, CSR-VA, CCR-WA, CLR, RPR

REALTIME SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA